Volume 2, Issue 4, 2024

ISSN: (E) 3007-1917 (P) 3007-1909

ON NEARLY S-PERMUTABLE AND NEARLY HALL S-EMBEDDED SUBGROUPS: IMPLICATIONS FOR SUPERSOLVABILITY AND P-NILPOTENCY OF GROUPS

Abid Mahboob^{*1}, Muhammad Waheed Rasheed², Jahangeer Karamat³, Warda Noman⁴, Noor Ahmad⁵, Muhammad Faisal⁶

*1,2,3,4,5 Department of Mathematics, Division of Science and Technology, University of Education, Lahore, Pakistan

^{*1}abid.mahboob@ue.edu.pk

Corresponding Author: *			
Received	Revised	Accepted	Published
29 October, 2024	29 November, 2024	14 December, 2024	23 December, 2024

ABSTRACT

A subgroup T of G is said to be nearly S-permutable if (p, |T|) = 1, for some prime p. Also, for every $H \leq G$ containing T the normalizer $N_k(T)$ contain some Sylow p-subgroups of H. Furthermore, T is called nearly Hall S-embedded if for some normal subgroup N of G s.t TN (\leq G) is a Hall and $T \cap N \leq T \leq T_s(G)$, where T_sG is the largest nearly S-embedded of G contained in T and T is nearly S-permutable provided supplement $B \leq T$ such that T permutes with every Sylow P of B. Now we will show supersolvability and p-nil potency of some groups. **Keywords:** Nearly S-permutable, supersolvability, p-nilpotent, Hall subgroups MSC (2020): 20D10, 20D15, 20D20.

INTRODUCTION

Only finite groups will be discovered in this article. All the symbols and notations are standard. A finite group G and its sub groups denoted by H and T. Sylow subgroups of a finite group are denoted by $S_v l_G$. A permutable subgroup S is denoted as $S H = H S, S H \leq G$. There are interesting results and generalizations related to S-permutable are shown in [3-6]. As subgroup T of G is called S-permutable if $T Q = Q T \forall Q \mathcal{E} S_v l_G$ (see [1]) S-permutable subgroups are also known as S-quasinormal and the subgroups consist of very interesting series of results. For example; If a subgroup T is S-permutable than this subgroup is subnormal in G [1]. Also, from [2] the subgroup $T H/H_G$ is nilpotent. Now we will present the basic definitions of this paper.

Definition: 1.1

Suppose $T(\leq G)$ and is known as nearly Spermutable $\forall P$ with (P, |T|) = 1 and $\forall TH \leq G$ contains T. The symbol $N_h(T)$ is normalizer containing $S_v l_p(H)$.

Definition: 1.2

Subgroups $T \le G$ is called nearly Hall S-embedded in G if for any $N \le G$ satisfying TN a Hall subgroup of $G \ T \cap N \le T \le T_s$ (G) where T_sG is the largest nearly S-permutable contained in T.

The group G with maximal Sylow subgroups, NHembedded in G are supersolvable [8]

From nearly Hall S-embedded and nearly Spermutable subgroup it is clears that normal subgroups and Hall subgroups are nearly Hall Sembedded subgroups. On the other hand, converse does not hold. In this article, we will present some

Volume 2, Issue 4, 2024

generalizations related to supersolvability and pnilpotency and the following theorem will be proved.

Theorem: 1.3

If every maximal subgroup of every $S_yl(G)$ is either nearly S-permutable or nearly Hall S-embedded, then G is supersolveable.

Theorem: 1.4

Suppose that for any finite group G and G_p $\mathcal{E} S_y L_p(G)$ for |G|/p then p is the smallest number. Consider every maximal subgroup $H \leq G_p$, either nearly S-permutable or nearly Hall S-embedded subgroup. Then G is p - nilpotent.

Theorem: 1.5

Suppose $H \supseteq G$ (of a finite group) and $G/_H$ be a supersolvable and every maximal subgroup every maximal subgroup T of $S_yl(H)$ is either nearly S-permutable or nearly Hall S-embedded. Then G is supersolvable.

Preliminaries: 2

Here we will prove our basic results to generalize the idea of nearly Hall S-embedded subgroups of finite groups.

Lemma: 2.1 [7]

Suppose a NS-permutable Subgroup $T \leq G$ and M $\Delta\,G.$ Then

(1) TM is NS-permutable.

(2) If prime power order group T, then $T \cap M$ is NS-permutable in G.

(3) If prime power order so $^{TM}/_{M}$ is NS-permutable in $^{G}/_{M}$ for any M Δ G.

Lemma: 2.2 [8]

 $|T| = q^n$, Where q^n is a prime, so $T \le Q_q(G)$ And T is NS-permutable in G.

Lemma: 2.3 [9]

Consider $T \le G$ is S-permutable so T/T_G nilpotent, T_G is the core of $T \le G$.

ISSN: (E) 3007-1917 (P) 3007-1909

Lemma: 2.4 [10]

If $T \leq G$ is S-permutable and T is a prime group with prime number q So,

 $O^{q}(G) \leq N_{G}(T)$

Lemma: 2.5 [11]

Suppose $T \le G$, where T is nilpotent so, (1) $T \le G$ where T is S-permutable. (2) $S_v l(H)$ is S-quasinormal.

Lemma: 2.6 [12]

Consider Q to be a $S_y l_q(G)$ and $Q_\circ \max(Q)$, then (1) $Q_\circ \Delta G$. (2) Q_\circ is S-quasinormal.

Lemma: 2.7 [8]

Suppose $T \leq G$ and T is NH-embedded. Consider $M \Delta G$

(1) If $T \le H \le G$ with H subnormal, T is NH-embedded in H.

(2)Consider T a q-group for $q \in \pi(G)$ if $M \le G$, then $T/_M$ is NH-embedded in G/M.

(3) M is a q-subgroup, so for any q-subgroup T, $TM_{/M}$ is NH-embedded of $G_{/M}$

Lemma: 2.8 [7,12]

Suppose $T \leq H \leq K$

(1) Consider $M \Delta K$ and T to be a prime number group. If T is nearly S-permutable, so TM/M is nearly S-permutable in $G/_M$.

(2) Consider T is nearly S-permutable contained in G and $T \leq O_p(G)$, then T is nearly S-permutable in G.

Lemma: 2.9 [13]

Consider T to be a nearly S-permutable subgroup in G, so T is a prime group for $p \in \pi(G)$ if $M \Delta G$, then $T \cap M$ is also Nearly S-permutable.

Lemma: 2.10 [14]

Suppose R, S, T contained in a finite group G. So equivalent statements are,

 $R \cap ST = (R \cap S)(R \cap T)$ $RS \cap RT = R(S \cap T)$

Volume 2, Issue 4, 2024

ISSN: (E) 3007-1917 (P) 3007-1909

3. Proof of theorems Theorem 1.3 Proof:

We check it conversely, consider that this statement is not true and its example is G. Suppose q is the prime (smallest) which divides the order of G. So, G will be nilpotent we will prove it in the next theorem. Suppose R is a normal and Hall q'-subgroup contained in G. We can check that R follows the results of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.7. R is supersolveable by induction and G consists of S_yl Power property of supersolveable. Now consider P is the prime number (largest) which divides the order of G and P is $S_yl(G)$. So, $P \Delta G$, From Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.7 it is clear G/P possesses the hypothesis. Thus G/P is supersolveable.

Suppose $M \Delta G$ where M is a minimal normal subgroup. Consider it as $G/_M$ satisfied this, $G/_M$ is supersolveable. We know that all the supersolveable is saturated formation, $M \Delta G$ where M is normal and unique.

So M is the subgroup of P, now we claim $M \le T$ $T \in N(P)$. From our supposition T is Nearly Hall S-embedded or simply SS-quasinormal.

Now consider if T SS-quasinormal in G.

 $Q \Delta G$

Moreover, T \triangle G from Lemma 2.6 thus $M \leq T$. Consider T is nearly hall S-embedded contained in G. $T \triangle G$ such that TH be a hall subgroup and $T \cap H \leq H$. And H = 1, so T = TH is Hall subgroup contained in G.

 $\Rightarrow T = 1 \text{ and so } |P| = P$

As we know G/P supersolveable, we have $G \Rightarrow$ supersolveable. This contradiction $H \neq 1$ and $M \leq T$. In this way G/H is supersolveable, if $|T \cap H|$ equal to 1 then $|P \cap H|$ is p.

This conclude $M = P \cap H$ with p order, so G is supersolveable.

Again, if $T \cap H$ not equal to 1 check $T \cap H \leq T_{S \in G} \leq T$, and

 $T \cap H = T_{S \in G} \cap H$ is nearly S-permutable using 2.9 Lemma. Next, we have

 $T \cap H \leq P = O_P(G) \Rightarrow T \cap H$ Be S-quasinormal or S-permutable using 2.8 Lemma. Using Lemma 2.4 $O'(G) \leq M_G(T \cap H)$. Note that $T \cap H \Delta G$. Hence $M \leq T \cap H \leq T$ As required, we have $M \leq \cap T = \emptyset(P)([6])$ $\emptyset(P) \leq \emptyset(G)$ And this $M \leq \emptyset(G)$

 G/\emptyset (*G*) supersolveable. This conclude G Supersolveable which contradicts the statement and hence theorem proved.

Theorem 1.4

Proof:

We check conversely the statement is not true and its example is group G with minimal order. Suppose a $S_y l_q(G)$ and set of all maximal subgroups of $G_q \{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, ..., Q_n\}$. From the statement of the theorem every element Q_1 of $M(G_q)$ is either Nearly Hall S-embedded subgroup or nearly S-permutable without losing generality, Consider every element of $G_1(G_q) = G_2(G_q) = \{Q_{k+1}, Q_{k+2}, ..., Q_n\}$ of $M(G_q)$ is nearly S-permutable for $1 \le k \le n$.

This prove can be solved in 5 states as below.

1) $M \Delta G$, where M is minimal and G/M is qnilpotent. Consider $M \Delta G$ where M is minimal, so $G_q M/M$ is $S_y l_q(G/M)$ for only $N/M \in M(G_q M/M)$, suppose $Q = N \cap G_q$ So,

 $N = N \cap G_q M = (N \cap G_q) M = QM$ and

$$Q \cap M = (N \cap G_q) \cap M = QM \cap G_q \cap M$$
$$= G_q \cap M$$

because

 $|G_q:Q| = |G_q(G_q \cap N) = |G_qN:N| = Q$ as

 $Q \in M(G_P)$ From our supposition Q is either Nearly Hall s-embedded ∞ – Nearly s-permutable. Now let Q is Nearly s-permutable, so N/M = QM/M is also Nearly s-permutable in G/M using Lemma 2.1.

Here we suppose Q is nearly Hall s-embedded contained in G, so] $H \Delta G$ s.t QH is a Hall subgroup in G and $Q \cap H \leq Q_{SG}$ clearly $HM/M \Delta G/M$, and QM/M HM/M = QHM/M

Is a Hall subgroup of G/M as $Q \cap M = G_q \cap M$ is $S_{\nu}l(M)$, we have

$$|M \cap QH|_{q'} = |M|_q = |M \cap Q|_q$$
$$= |(M \cap Q)(M \cap H)|_q$$

Volume 2, Issue 4, 2024

 $|M \cap QH|_{q'} = \frac{|QH|_{q'} |M|_q}{|MQH|_{q'}} = \frac{|H|_{q'} |M|_q}{|MH|_{q'}}$ $= |M \cap Q|_q |(M \cap Q)(M \cap H)|_q$ $M \cap QH = (M \cap Q)(M \cap H)$ And thus $QM \cap HM = (Q \cap H)M$ Using Lemma 2.11, so $\frac{QM}{M} \cap \frac{HM}{M} = \frac{\frac{QM}{M} \cap HM}{M} = \frac{(Q \cap H)M}{M}$

As Q_{SG} is nearly S-permutable in G,

So $Q_{SG}M/M$ is nearly S-permutable in G/M using Lemma 2.8

$$Q_{SG}\frac{M}{M} \le (QM/M)_{\frac{SG}{M}}$$

Thus, N/M = QM/M is nearly Hall S-embedded in G/M.

From all these collections we can say that G/M satisfied the hypothesis. As the choice of G, it is obvious that G/M is p-nilpotent, also $M \Delta G$ and unique minimal. As p-nilpotent class is saturated. (2) $Q_{at}(G)$ is equal to 1.

Consider $Q_{q'}(G) \ge 1$, so $M \le Q_{q'}(G)$ by (1)

by (1) since G/M is p-nilpotent and $G/Q_{q'}(G)$ is pnilpotent, thus G is also p-nilpotent. This contradicts is our hypothesis. So $Q_{q'}(G) = 1$.

(3) $M \leq Q_i$ for any $Q_i \in M_i(G_q)$ for $T \in M_i(G_q)$, T is nearly Hall s-embedded in G then T Δ G such that *TH* is Hall subgroup and $T \cap H \leq T_{iG}$. If H = 1, so T is a Hall subgroup of G and thus T = 1 which implies $|G_q| = q$, as Q is maximal of G_q . By Burnside Theorem, G is p-nilpotent which is contradicts. Thus $H \neq 1$ and $M \leq H$, if $T \cap M = 1$, then $|M|_q \leq q$. Hence M is p-nilpotent using Burnside Theorem consider V be normal Hall q'-subgroup of M then $V \Delta G$. By minimality of M V = 1. Thus |M| = q consequently, this nilpotency of $G/M \Rightarrow G$ is p-nilpotent which contradicts. So, we have $T \cap M \neq 1$ and $T \cap M \leq T \cap H \leq T_{SG} \leq T$.

We have $T_{sG} \cap M \leq T \cap M$ and thus $T \cap M = T_{sG} \cap M$. Using Lemma 2.9 $T \cap M$ is nearly Spermutable. M is solvable by Lemma 2.10 \Rightarrow M is q'-group and $M \leq Q_q(G)$ ISSN: (E) 3007-1917 (P) 3007-1909

Particularly $T \cap M \le Q_q(G)$ by Lemma 2.8, $T \cap M$ is nearly s-permutable. Hence $O(G) \le N_G(T \cap M)$ using Lemma 2.4 see $T \cap M \triangle G_q$ which implies $T \cap M \triangle G$.

Hence $T \cap M = M$ it leads to $M \leq T$.

(4) For $Q_j \in M_i(G_q)$,] a normal N_j subgroup of G, s.t $M \leq N_j$. For any $T \in M_i(G_q)$ we have H is ss-quasinormal so] $B \leq G$ such that G = TBand $TB_q = B_q T$ for every $S_y l_{B_q}(B)$.

Thus $|B \ T \cap B|_q = |G \ T|_q = q$ from G = TB so $B_q \notin T$ and $B_q T = B_q T$ is a $S_y l_q(G)$. $H \in M_i(G_q)$ and comparing of orders $|T \cap B|_q = T \cap B$ thus

$$T\bigcap B = \bigcap_{b\in B} (B^b_q \bigcap T) \le \bigcap_{b\in B} B^b_q = O_q(B)$$

From $|O_q(B)B \cap T| = q$ or 1, obtaining |B| $O_q(B)|q = 1$ or q is the smallest prime dividing |G| using Burnside Theorem.

 $B/O_q(B)$ is nilpotent B being p-solvable and Hall q'subgroup of B [1]. Let $H = Hall q' - subgroup of B, \pi$

 $H = (P_2, ..., P_5), P_i \in S_y L_q(H)$ from ssquasinormal subgroup definition, T and $< P_2, ..., P_5 > = K$ are permutations. So, TH is subgroup of G.

H is Hall q'-subgroup and TH is a subgroup of index q in G. q is smallest prime dividing $|G|, TH \Delta G \text{ if } TH = 1.$

Then G is elementary commutative q-group, which is contradicts. Hence

$$M \le TH = N_i$$

T is supersolvable. Consider p is largest prime that divides order of T and $P = O_q(G)$ char $S_y l_q(T)$, So $P \Delta T$ contained in G. Clearly $(G/P)/(T/P) \cong G/T$ supersolvable. Using Lemma 2.1 and 2.7 every max $S_y l(T/P)$ is either Nearly Hall s-embedded or nearly S-permutable in G/P. Thus G/P endorse the statement and supersolvable.

(5) Final contradiction: Take

$$V = (\bigcap_{j=1}^{k} Q_j) \cap (\bigcap_{i=k+1}^{n} N_i)$$

By the statement given above, we know $N \leq V$. We have

Volume 2, Issue 4, 2024

$$M = M \cap G_q \le V \cap G_q$$
$$= \left(\left(\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k Q_j \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=k+1}^n N_i \right) \right) \cap G_q$$
$$= \bigcap_{j=1}^n Q_j = \emptyset(G_q)$$

From ([6]. III.3.3) we have $\emptyset(G_q) \le \emptyset(G)$ and thus $M \le \emptyset(G)$. As G/M is p-nilpotent we get $G/\emptyset(G)$ p-nilpotent. We know class of all p-nilpotent is saturated formation, G will be p-nilpotent. Which contradicts and proves the theorem.

Theorem 1.5

Proof:

Consider it false and take a minimal order example to prove. Using Lemma 2.1 and 2.7 we know every max $S_y l(T)$ either nearly Hall s-embedded or nearly s-permutable in H. By Theorem 1.3 consider $M \Delta G$ where minimal subgroup same as Theorem 1.4, we have G/M satisfies it and supersolvable. We know saturated formation consist of class of all supersolvable group, $M \Delta G$ will unique minimal contained in P.

Follow the proof of Theorem 1.3, we have $M \le \phi(P)$, thus $G/\phi(P)$ supersolvable by ([6], *iii*, 3.3) $\phi(P) \le \phi(G)$. Thus $G/\phi(G)$ supersolvable. As saturated formation G is supersolvable. If contradict thus complete the proof.

4. Applications

Corollary 4.1 ([5, *Theorem* 3.1]). Suppose q is the smallest prime dividing the order of G and $S_y l(G)G_q$. Consider every maximal subgroup G_q is nearly Hall s-embedded in G. Thus, G is nilpotent.

Corollary 4.2 ([1, *Theorem*3.1]). Suppose q is the smallest prime dividing order of G and $S_y l(G)G_q$. Suppose every maximal G_q subgroup is nearly s-quasigroup subgroup in G. then G is nilpotent.

Corollary 4.3 ([5, *Theorem* 3.4]). Suppose a $M' \Delta G$ s.t G / E supersolvable. If every maximal of every $S_y l(E)$ is Nearly Hall s-embedded then G is supersolvable.

ISSN: (E) 3007-1917 (P) 3007-1909

Data Availability

In this article, no data were utilized.

Funding statement

This research is sponsored and funded by NRPU#17309.

Authors' declaration

Conflicts of Interest: None

We hereby confirm that all the Figures and Tables in the manuscript are ours.

REFERENCE

- Asaad, M., & Heliel, A. A. (2001). On S-quasinormally embedded subgroups of finite groups. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 165(2), 129-135.
- Z. Chen, on a theorem of srinivasan, J. Southwest Normal Univ. Nat. sci.(chinese), 12(1) (1987), 1-4.
- W. E. Deskins, On quasinormal subgroups of finite groups, Math. Z., 82(1963), 125-132.
- K. Doerk and T. Hawkes, Finite Soluble Groups, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- Y. Gao and X. Li, On NH-embedded subgroups of finite groups, J. Algebra Appl., 21(10) (2022), 2250200 (11pp).
- B. Huppert, Er dliche Gruppen, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- Al-Sharo, K. A. (2012). On nearly S-permutable subgroups of finite groups. Communications in Algebra, 40(1), 315-326.
- Gao, Y., & Li, X. (2022). On NH-embedded subgroups of finite groups. Journal of Algebra and Its Applications, 21(10), 2250200.
- Deskins, W. E. (1963). On quasinormal subgroups of finite groups. Mathematische Zeitschrift, 82(2), 125-132.
- Schmid, P. (1998). Subgroups permutable with all Sylow subgroups. Journal of Algebra, 207(1), 285-293.
- Asaad, M., & Heliel, A. A. (2001). On S-quasinormally embedded subgroups of finite groups. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 165(2), 129-135.
- Qinhai, Z., & Lifang, W. (2005). The influence of ssemipermutable subgroups on the structure of finite groups. J. Math, 48(1), 81-88.
- Li, Y., Qiao, S., Su, N., & Wang, Y. (2012). On weakly ssemipermutable subgroups of finite groups. Journal of Algebra, 371, 250-261.
- Doerk, K., & Hawkes, T. (1992). Finite Soluble Groups, Walter de Gruyter. Berlin–New York, 889.