

DISENTANGLING THE WEB OF SYSTEMIC INJUSTICE: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF SOCIAL WORK'S RESPONSE TO THE INTERSECTION OF POVERTY, RACISM, AND GENDER-BASED VIOLENCE IN URBAN ENVIRONMENTS

Maryam Mehboob^{*1}, Zohra Asad²

^{*1}PhD scholar Department of Social work University of Punjab Lahore

²Phd Scholar Department of Social work University of Indiana Police USA

Corresponding Author: *

Received	Revised	Accepted	Published
05 October, 2024	05 November, 2024	20 November, 2024	26 November, 2024

ABSTRACT

This paper will analytically discuss the ways systematic oppression, both poverty, Racism, and GBV, unfolds in urban settings and the ability of Social work to address these issues. These oppressions are intertwined and are especially prevalent in the urban areas since people living and working there form a tightly-knit population of employees living and working in institutions. However, drawing from intersectionality and critical race theory, the study analysis is qualitative, using secondary data and semi-structured interviews to assess systematic unfairness. The research establishes that social norms contribute to the emergence of GBV since they involve Racism and economic bias, which ensures that victims stay bound and remain suppressed. Potential intervention examples, including integrated service delivery, exhibit strengths such as micro and meso-level practices but weaknesses including lack of funding, inadequate training of social workers, and absence of intersectionality. The study, therefore, calls for culturally tailored, team, approached individual, structural changes, and policy intervention.

Keywords:- *Systemic Injustice, Intersectionality, Poverty, Racism, Gender-Based Violence (GBV), Urban Social Work.*

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Systemic injustice presents a situation whereby, in society, there are identifiable structures that perform and rationalize injustices in all forms and at all levels in society, such as poverty, racism, and gender violence. These issues do not exist in parallel but are entangled in a way that gives a full circle of continued oppression to the vulnerable group. Systemic oppression is more evident in urban settings due to the increased prevalence of organized structures of social, economic, cultural, and organizational discrimination, ethnic and cultural diversity, and high-density institutional discrimination. Density creates other vulnerabilities where there is poverty, racism as well as gender-

based violence defies other pressure points where individuals and organizations are forced to face numerous challenges in helping vulnerable groups. The problem of poverty persists particularly in the urban areas, caused by past and present social injustice and lacking cognisant social policies. By encouraging systemic prejudice at the macro level, prejudice exacerbates these issues by denying people access to other opportunities and ostracizing particular cultures (Reilly et al., 2022). This leads to gender-based violence (GBV), which makes poverty and racism more difficult for women and other marginalized genders. These linkages were made clear by COVID-19, a "syndemic" that was coined in conjunction with racism and gender-based violence

since it exacerbates crises among the vulnerable (Khanlou et al., 2021).

These structural problems form part of the social injustices that social work addresses. This is because, by its premise, the field is defending social justice and assisting fragmented communities. However, social work practitioners experience barriers to dealing with the interrelated and intersecting complexities of poverty, racism, and GBV in urban settings. With regards to eradicating such systemic discrimination, one has to look beyond the straightforward embedded discrimination and retaliate on systems that support discrimination (Boateng, 2021).

1.2 Problem Statement

Lack of housing and displacing of minorities result from the systemic oppression of society's vulnerable persons, whose chaos mirrors the deterioration of urban society. Social work practitioners face challenges in these settings, such as lack of resources, prejudice, and policies. For example, some of the vulnerable populations with a high risk of GBV are women with irregular legal status, as well as women applying for asylum (Di Matteo & Scaramuzzino, 2022). These challenges also multiply advocacy work owing to issues of race, ethnicity, gender, and sexual orientation, which define people's experience of structural oppression (Cayir et al., 2021).

More research is needed, and more successful frameworks are needed to consider how these issues interact. Most of the current interventions that social workers provide do not address the interaction of poverty, racism, and GBV simultaneously and in their fullness and do not deal with each aspect of the systems of injustice in isolation. This fragmented approach hampers efforts at strengthening social work practices and formulating policies for addressing social problems in urban settings. Furthermore, there needs to be more intersectional approaches in social work education and training, increasing the burdens of treating clients that social work practitioners experience (Reilly et al., 2022).

1.3 Objectives and Research Questions

To address these gaps, this study seeks to achieve the following objectives:

Objective 1:

To analyze how social work addresses the intersectionality of poverty, racism, and gender-based violence in urban environments.

Objective 2:

To evaluate the effectiveness of current social work interventions and identify areas for improvement.

This research is guided by the following questions:

1. How do systemic injustices manifest at the intersection of poverty, racism, and gender-based violence in urban environments?
2. What are the challenges and opportunities for social workers in mitigating these issues?

1.4 Significance of the Study

The findings of this research have important implications for both theory and practice. From an academic point of view, it adds to the increasing literature on Intersectionality and Structural oppression in urban social work. By building on such literature as Reilly et al. (2022) and Di Matteo & Scaramuzzino (2022), this study addresses the gaps in understanding the mutually reinforcing nature of poverty, racism, and GBV.

The study will apply the results to advance social work practices and guidelines that will assist scholars, trainers, policymakers, and practitioners regarding best practices for eradicating systems of oppression in metropolitan areas. This work will contribute to constructing intersectional frameworks that will assist in designing suitable interventions by social workers. Besides, it will shed light on the structural modifications of urban policies about root causes of injustice based on a contingency of marginalized communities during the COVID-19 syndemic (Khanlou et al., 2021). Lastly, this research provides paramount proof that fostering the capacity of these social workers and communities to destroy the roots that keep unreasonableness going is crucial.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Theoretical Framework

The literature examining systemic oppression warns against the need to employ intersectionality and critical race theory to understand intersecting oppressions. Crenshaw (1991) first came up with the intersectionality idea, explaining how several aggrieved systems like poverty, racism, and GBV disadvantaged individuals experiencing the triple

whammy. This theory provides a method by which one can define how urban setups augment these interactions. Similarly, Delgado and Stefancic [(2017) write that deeply rooted racism continues to cause structural imbalance within communities, especially in cities. These frameworks are helpful in comprehending how poverty, racism, and GBV affect vulnerable populations simultaneously.

2.2 Key Themes

2.2.1 Poverty in Urban Environments

Therefore, poverty prevails in the urban territories and complements other forms of prejudice and discrimination. Nyaba et al. (2024) have done a critical feminist lens analysis of crises in Cape Town, South Africa, to show how poverty and economic issues increase vulnerability to GBV. According to the authors, poverty deprives individuals, especially women, of the resources required. They have limited choices to move out and escape abusive relationships. Lynch and Logan (2023) explain the economic dangers for the victims of GBV, both those living in rural areas and those living in cities, where economic volatility constantly keeps people trapped in the veil of abuse.

The information suggests that economic empowerment can be used as a weapon to reduce GBV. For example, Bashford-Squires et al. (2022) narrate how economic autonomy sought by female-headed households in the Teso sub-region of Uganda released them from domestic violence. However, the authors use suggestions for such measures while pointing out that they need to be complemented by structural reforms to fight poverty. Rieger et al. (2022) also agree that poverty was aggravated during the COVID-19 pandemic, adding deeper intersectional dimensions to urban dwellers and increasing GBV.

2.2.2 Racism and Its Implications in Urban Communities

Race is still a pervasive problem that sustains systematic injustices within cities. Pitcher (2023) outlines the various social constructions of race and class about GBV in South Africa and why justice is difficult to obtain due to racism in the institution. Similarly, Vahedi et al. (2023) pointed out that structural racism in Brazil preconditioned weak responses to GBV during the pandemic, isolating

marginalized females' support. In social work, Segalo and Fine (2020) condemn the epistemic injustice inherent in many GBV responses. Some scholars claim that it is because European colonialism and racism do not allow the creation of multicultural social work models. Similarly, Murshid et al. (2020) support this viewpoint, speaking about the necessity to advance anti-racism in social work to consider together the prevalence of racism and GBV.

2.2.3 Gender-Based Violence in Urban Areas

The fact that GBV is rife in urban spaces has not been well explored. However, scholars have pointed out the link between poverty and racism. In separate works, Ikuteyijo et al. (2023) concurrently discovered in Nigeria that female adolescent survivors of GBV in urban low-income contexts had significant access to adequate support. The authors clarified that ending GBV is more than a direct issue that needs to be suppressed; instead, it is a structural issue about resources and justice. The pandemic of COVID-19 revealed weaknesses in the women's century and quality in urban settings. Recent work by Boyd et al. (2022) dealing with urban contexts in Canada discussed the connections between drug overdoses and GBV and insisted on the required harm reduction approaches. According to Vahedi et al. (2023), the pandemic also extends the analysis of structural violence by shedding light on or magnifying generally hidden issues such as GBV. These findings support Leburu et al. (2022), who argue for the lack of representation of LGBTIQ people in traditional GBV programs.

2.3 Social Work's Role and Challenges

Social work has always been presented as an effective tool to respond to systemic oppression, but SWs encounter various barriers to engaging with intersectional concerns. This paper articulates how Fahlberg et al. (2023) demonstrate that feminist mobilization in Latin America has offered distinct approaches to addressing GBV in social work practice. The authors state that social workers have to enhance the role of the community, easily translating into culture and developing diverse practice models to address their environments. Cayir et al. (2021) analyze how race, ethnicity, and gender operate within the American South context to address GBV. The authors here observe that social

workers meet much resistance when it comes to intersectionality, as racism and sexism are hardwired into institutions. Likewise, Murshid et al. (2020) have emphasized a multi-sectoral approach involving social work, education, especially health education and public health, to fight GBV. Nonetheless, significant deficits exist in the preparation and enactment of social work professionals. Leburu et al. (2022) agreed that the inter-sectional lens needs to be adequately taught, making it challenging for practice professionals in social work to challenge oppression. According to Ikuteyijo et al. (2023), other factors, such as scarcity of resources and low entry status, also limit social work in practice in the identified areas.

2.4 Gaps in Literature

Thus, there is quite a rich literature on the relations between and among poverty, racism, and GBV. However, some gaps are still discernible. According to Rieger et al. (2022), most workflow fragments address only separate systemic inequity components without considering them interconnected. This fragmentary type of reasoning hampers the evolution of synergistic social work paradigms. Furthermore, Vahedi et al. (2023) show that there is little empirical work addressing social violence as a cause of GBV in urban settings. The authors recommend that future studies adopt the voices of the oppressed groups and cultures to create policies that enhance and address the existing injustices. Boyd et al. (2022) also insist on the import/timeliness of programs that factor in the intersecting factors of GBV and substance use in urban regions.

Lastly, and most significantly, mainstream GBV programs continue to omit LGBTIQ people from their interventions. Leburu et al. (2022) further noted that nearly all the social work frameworks developed are heteronormative, leaving the LGBTIQ population vulnerable. More progressive and intersectional views concerning social work education and practice must be embraced to fill this void.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This research study adopted a qualitative research approach and engaged critical research to analyze the effects of poverty, racism, and gender-based violence

in urban areas. Qualitative research is well suited for this study given that it enables the exploration of social issues and the personal accounts of stakeholders impacted by systematic oppression. The study embeds theoretical frameworks, including intersectionality and critical race theory, to analyze how these topics are linked and the position of social work regarding these matters.

3.2 Data Collection Methods

To achieve the research objectives, data was collected using two primary methods: secondary data analysis and focus groups and interviews.

Secondary Data Analysis

A contextual understanding of systemic injustices in urban areas was obtained from various sources, including past social work case studies, reports, and case interventions. Policy analysis was conducted to review the progress made in poverty, racism, GBV, and the lack of similar concepts for analysis in the systematic frameworks. This approach helped the study discover the knowledge already established in the field before seeking knowledge gaps.

Interviews and Focus Groups

Primary data collection methods were conducted using ten thirty-five semi-structured interviews with the key respondents, including urban social workers, policymakers, and community members. The interviews also gave an understanding of the difficulties and possibilities of searching for systemic injustice. Focus groups were used to investigate the experience of victims of systemic injustices. These dialogues provided rich examples of poverty, racism, and GBV and their effective intervention in social work.

3.3 Sampling Strategy

A purposive sampling technique was used to recruit participants with firsthand experience or research knowledge on systemic injustices in the urban environment. Participants were purposefully selected because of their professional responsibilities related to poverty, racism, and GBV. Some participants in the study were victims of systemic injustice, so their experiences and the essence of their struggle were captured. Consistent with the study's sampling strategy, participants were recruited from urban settings with high indices of systemic prejudice,

including economic disparity, residential ethnic differentiation, and the pervasiveness of GBV. This approach meant that each presented challenge had a good chance of being typical of the various situations faced in urban social work.

3.4 Data Analysis

The data was analyzed using thematic analysis, a preferred method for making patterned sense of qualitative data. Focus group and individual interview data were transcribed and investigated further to obtain themes reflecting systemic oppression and social work. Government policies and organizations are under analysis, and various published cases received critical analysis to determine structural imperfection and ineffectiveness. Primary and secondary data were analyzed and compared to enhance the validity and reliability of the research study. Thematic analysis used in the study allowed for capturing the richness of relations between poverty, racism, and GBV and the struggles of social work practitioners.

3.5 Ethical Considerations

It is worth noting that ethical issues were not only considered while developing the research proposal but were also a significant practical concern. All participants provided their voluntary consent to participate in the study, and each participant understood the purpose and goal of the study, their role, and their right to withdraw at any particular time. Everything was kept personal and anonymous to ensure the participants' identities were not compromised, especially if they were victims of a specific system. Data was kept both archival and electronic, and the rights to data access were limited to the research team only. The investigation complied with ethical research procedures with vulnerable groups of people, keeping the research methodological approach sensitive and comprehensive.

4. Results

4.1 Key Findings

The gender, race, and poverty discrimination patterns Classically presented in the study were reflected in multiple forms across the regions, showing the structural prevalence of injustices. Key issues identified included poverty as an antecedent leading

to the restricted achievement of the necessities of life, including shelter, education, and health facilities, which, in turn, generated trends of social injustice. It established that people from disadvantaged racial backgrounds were more recognized; they were locked out due to issues such as racism in housing and racist employment businesses. In addition, gendered violence was said to be rampant for women and gender minorities in economically vulnerable neighborhoods because of racism and societal prejudices. For instance, aim three focused on understanding the experiences documented in participant focus group descriptions of how lack of financial independence owing to poverty keeps the victims trapped in abusive relationships. Interviews conducted with social workers revealed that potential racism led to differential police responses and other prejudicial justice systems disadvantaging victims. These results define the relationships between these problems and the increased vulnerability of minoritized populations in urban contexts.

The study uncovered several forms of social work practice targeting social injustices, and they were found to have a fair level of effectiveness. Practical strategies were neighborhood-focused services providing economic independence and therapy and counseling services. For example, the services provided at an urban shelter showed that integrated services, a sum which aimed at skills in managing finances and traumatic experiences, enabled GBV survivors to become self-sufficient. The attendees, members of this profession, pointed out the particular focus on the cultural relevance of the work and how it concerns racially and economically oppressed peoples.

However, several significant limitations of the current study were also observed. Most of the interventions were limited by the availability of funding and insufficient preparation of the social workers for conducting practice with an understanding of intersectional realities. Intervention mapped by participants identified current models as needing more focus on more extensive systemic change processes and simply continuing to offer piecemeal solutions on crisis management while disregarding structural imbalances. Field supervisors also identified challenges in organization restrictions, including the need for more flexibility

and structure change that impeded their daily practice regarding providing services.

4.2 Emerging Patterns and Insights

The results highlighted the problem-solution discrepancy concerning systemic injustices and the current paradigms used in social work practice. The fundamental issues were highlighted by the participants, including systemic racism through institutionalization and lack of adequate funding for public services. For instance, in matters concerning urban policies, system injustices need to be better integrated; for example, poverty or GBV are tackled individually. This silo-based approach weakens social work actions and sustains other cycles of injustice for vulnerable communities. Interview surveys of social workers involved in this study said they often get overwhelmed by their caseload due to a lack of training and resources. Several respondents described their frustration at being unable to respond to the processes perpetuating the injustices. This social worker said best: “We are simply dousing fires, but the system continues throwing more matches.”

Hypothesis two revealed that urban policies positively impacted the systematic social injustices but at the same time were responsible for reinforcing them. At times, urban renewal failed to improve conditions by displacing the poor to even worsen poverty and racism by putting into effect policies meant for the renewal of metropolitan areas. Meanwhile, community-based programs adopted and implemented under progressive policies are vital to solving these problems. For instance, some of the participatory budgeting initiatives in some urban centers enabled the disadvantaged groups to demand resources, thereby achieving a more justified result.

4.3 Case Study Examples

The case observations from the region and the global instances given in the report described specific forms of gender bias and outlined evidence-based interventions that social workers undertook. The most striking concern was in a multiethnic but low-income area where the Bachelor of Arts was designed for the community center. The center also established a one-stop center with an integrated service delivery model on employment, legal, and trauma intervention for GBV survivors. Although the program outcomes found many participants

benefiting from the services, funding issues forced the capacity to reduce, which saw several candidates without a helping hand. The second is a sociological focus area that recounts the experiences of undocumented immigrant women who are considered victims of GBV. Many clients, because of their vulnerable immigration status, never sought assistance from either the police or other government agencies. In many of these instances, the social workers depended on. Minecraft forge or other informal structures to help. Despite these efforts, they showcased the strength of SWP and highlighted how the system failed these persons, who had to rely on unaided assistance.

Lastly, the study focused on an urban policy program to redress systemic racism based on affordable housing and One-Stop-Shop social services. Despite these positive findings regarding the program's success in decreasing homelessness and increasing access to supportive services, the program's success was variable due to implementation inconsistencies and lack of community participation. The effectiveness of poverty, racism, and GBV alleviation requires reformation and enhancement of funding of social work services.

5. Discussion

5.1 Interpretation of Results

The findings of this study highlight the multifaceted and mutually connected idea of systemic injustice in tangible urban contexts examined from the perspectives of intersectionality and critical race theory. These studies show that poverty, racism, and gender-based violence are not singular but work hand in hand to worsen the fate of people in the periphery. The critical assessment shows that systematic injustice of spatial practices, including racially discriminatory urban development policies and systematic underinvestment in social infrastructure, reproduce disadvantage. This goes well with Crenshaw's (1991) intersectionality theory, which holds that multiple discriminations form compound vices. The systematic factors described in the current research-based study of students struggling with institutional racism and economic insecurity are the effect of systematic oppression as defined in the literature, such as those pointed out by Segalo and Fine (2020) and Vahedi et al. (2023).

The studies also show that existing paradigms of OG social work need to be revised to address these issues together. Since social work practice is more or less casework-oriented, it has a restricted capacity for generating or voicing change. This calls for a change of attitude in how research is done, with emphasis being placed on the system approaches described in the theoretical framework.

5.2 Implications for Social Work Practice

The present research highlights the dire necessity for social work interventions that adopt intersectionality as a critical focus. Such frameworks can be inadequate to inform best practices currently because they do not take into consideration how poverty, racism, and GBV combine to present barriers unique to clients and communities. Therefore, to optimize social work practice, practitioners should use culturally reasonable and contextually relevant paradigms with a focus on the actual situation of oppressed groups. Cultural competence should especially be considered when working with racially diverse groups. Therefore, social workers should have to be trained on how structural racism works for them to grasp what their clientele goes through and how to confront the impediments. Furthermore, measures that are unique to the context of the program should be considered, including providing economic empowerment for women together with GBV survivors with trauma sensitivity. Combined, they mean that every crisis can be met with comprehensive support that will solve acute problems and address root causes.

Another consequence is that social work should cooperate with other fields such as health care, schools, and law. Crossovers are helpful to close gaps in service delivery and ensure that human beings who fall victim to the various systems of oppression receive a complete package of assistance. For example, social workers are used in collaboration with community agencies in strengthening capacity and ensuring the needy services such as those of undocumented immigrants and the Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transsexual, intersexual, and queer (LGBTIQ) clients get the services they require.

5.3 Policy Implications

The lessons we derive from this study underscore the imperative of mainstreaming policy change for cities

in addressing poverty, racism, and GBV. To address pertinent issues, policies must embrace a system of intervention that uses a coordinated set of approaches that address each social justice system. For example, logical accommodation plans must incorporate referral to capacity services such as lawful help and mental health care to enable a household to eliminate the vicious cycle of poverty and violence.

It is also essential that urban policies should promote other related measures of citizenship, particularly involving disadvantaged groups in policy formulation. This will aid in ensuring that policies serve the intended population of people of the majority who are usually on the receiving end of systemic injustice. For instance, the results highlighted that participatory budgeting interventions had shown possibilities of enhancing the prospects for community mobilization for fairness in the distribution of resources. Additionally, it is necessary to analyze that policies exercising institutional racism need to fight against discrimination and vindicate in public establishments like police entities and courts. It is possible to prevent biases among participants of training programs for public officials and service providers, which may lead to better treatment of each marginalized population. These reforms and directed investment in educationally disadvantaged areas collectively provide a framework for breaking the cycle of systematic social disadvantage.

5.4 Limitations of the Study

However, there are also some limitations to the study. The first limitation is that this rationale heavily relies on qualitative data analysis while, even though the latter offers a depth of understanding, it needs a broader picture of the systematic nature of the issue. The given application of purposive sampling also restricts the generalization of the results as the study entails particular regions of the urban setting that have established high indices of systemic injustice. Another limitation is that interview and focus group data are somewhat subjective since participants most likely provide biased information. They may have overemphasized some experiences and need to be more mindful of others, which can distort the findings of this study. Furthermore, since the research is limited to urban dwellers, the authors fail

to capture the joys or otherwise of the minorities in the rural and suburban regions.

5.5 Future Research Directions

More studies should be conducted to examine the nature of systematic prejudice and conduct a long-term analysis of the effects of multiple oppressions. They could also capture the effectiveness of various social work practices across time and guide the mastery of more resilient frameworks. One more promising development domain will be further investigating systemic unfairness in non-urban contexts. Urban ecosystems are amenable to producing detailed perspectives on these questions; nonetheless, minority voices in rural and suburban regions deserve critical analysis. Quantitative comparative research designs can provide clues about similarities and contrasts between different settings and areas, thereby informing the building of contextually transportable interventions.

Furthermore, research should also engage with marginalized communities, which unfortunately rarely participate in mainstream social work and policy processes, including the immigrants who are living undocumented and the LGBTIQ people. Spotting the differences in their experiences supports finding ways to design fairer structures for everyone. Lastly, collaborative research that draws on conceptual features of social justice from social work, public health education, and legal studies but is grounded in practice can address the systematic injustice factors. Engagement of community organizations and members of vulnerable groups also improves the validity of lessons learned by the research studies.

6. Conclusion

Social work has a crucial function in restoring systemic oppression of calculated injustice, poverty, racism, and gendered violence typical of urban settings. This paper has demonstrated how these oppressions interact to amplify risk and sustain injustice: the requirement for intersectional best practice and secondary analysis is underscored. Culturally appropriate and contextually relevant interventions help social workers respond to clients' difficulties in significant minority groups. Furthermore, the policies regarding the cities should also provide uptake of the complex integrated

approaches to solving causes of the problems, the distribution of the resources in a fair way, and responsibilities in public entities. The findings also highlight the need for shared multidisciplinary teamwork and community engagement to support strategies that can bring about sustainable social initiatives.

REFERENCE

- Bashford-Squires, S., Gibson, L., & Nyashanu, M. (2022). Mitigating gender-based violence through the economic empowerment of women: a case study of the Teso sub-region in Uganda. In *Indigenous Methodologies, Research and Practices for Sustainable Development* (pp. 371-391). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Boateng, C. O. (2021). *Gender-Based Violence: A feminist social work analysis on the processes and perceptions on seeking justice by the Domestic Violence Victim Support Unit at Mampong, Ghana* (Doctoral dissertation, Thesis-Masters). <https://lup.lub.lu.se/studentpapers/search/publication/9053461> Date of access: 04 November).
- Boyd, J., Maher, L., Austin, T., Lavalley, J., Kerr, T., & McNeil, R. (2022). Mothers who use drugs: Closing the gaps in harm reduction response amidst the dual epidemics of overdose and violence in a Canadian urban setting. *American journal of public health*, 112(S2), S191-S198.
- Cayir, E., Spencer, M., Billings, D., Hilfinger Messias, D. K., & Robillard, A. (2021). Working against gender-based violence in the American South: an analysis of race, ethnicity, gender, and sexuality in advocacy. *Qualitative health research*, 31(13), 2454-2469.
- Di Matteo, C., & Scaramuzzino, R. (2022). Gender-based violence (GBV) against women with precarious legal status and their access to social protection in advanced welfare societies: an analytical contribution to reconstruct the research field and its institutional development. *Comparative migration studies*, 10(1), 40.

- Fahlberg, A., Velasquez, M., Wise, H., & Simon, T. (2023). Tangential Movements: How feminist organizing against gender-based violence offers an alternative avenue for protesting drug violence in Latin America. *World Development*, 161, 106118.
- Ikuteyijo, O. O., Kaiser-Grolimund, A., Fetters, M. D., Akinyemi, A. I., & Merten, S. (2023, September). Health Providers' Response to Female Adolescent Survivors of Sexual and Gender-Based Violence and Demand Side Barriers in the Utilization of Support Services in Urban Low-Income Communities of Nigeria. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 11, No. 19, p. 2627). MDPI.
- Khanlou, N., Vazquez, L. M., Pashang, S., Connolly, J. A., Ahmad, F., & Ssawe, A. (2021). 2020 Syndemic: convergence of COVID-19, gender-based violence, and racism pandemics. *Journal of racial and ethnic health disparities*, 1-13.
- Leburu, G. E., Kgadima, N. P., & Malesa, K. J. (2022). The inherent limitations of gender-based violence to the exclusion of LGBTIQ: A guide for social workers. *International Journal of Criminology and Sociology*, 11, 37-47.
- Lynch, K. R., & Logan, T. K. (2023). Rural and Urban/Suburban victim professionals' perceptions of gender-based violence, victim Challenges, and safety advice during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Violence against women*, 29(5), 1060-1084.
- Murshid, N. S., Lemke, M., Hussain, A., & Siddiqui, S. (2020). Combatting gender-based violence: perspectives from social work, education, Interdisciplinary studies, and medical anthropology. *Transforming Global Health: Interdisciplinary Challenges, Perspectives, and Strategies*, 83-96.
- Nyaba, S., Peters, C., Battersby, J., & Paganini, N. (2024). With Pots and Pens to Parliament: Understanding and Responding to Crises through a Critical Feminist Lens in Cape Town, South Africa. In *Radical Food Geographies* (pp. 53-70). Bristol University Press.
- Pitcher, S. (2023). White men's talk about gender-based violence in South Africa: A thematic narrative analysis of the stories told about race, class, and gender.
- Reilly, N., Bjørnholt, M., & Tastsoglou, E. (2022). Vulnerability, Precarity and intersectionality: A critical review of three key concepts for understanding gender-based violence in migration contexts. *Gender-based violence in migration*, 29-56.
- Rieger, A., Blackburn, A. M., Bystrynski, J. B., Garthe, R. C., & Allen, N. E. (2022). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on gender-based violence in the United States: Framework and policy recommendations. *Psychological trauma: theory, research, practice, and policy*, 14(3), 471.
- Segalo, P., & Fine, M. (2020). Under lying conditions of gender-based violence—Decolonial feminism meets epistemic ignorance: Critical transnational conversations. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 14(10), 1-10.
- Vahedi, L., McNelly, S., Lukow, N., Fonseca, A. C., Erskine, D., Poulton, C., ... & Seff, I. (2023). "The pandemic only gave visibility to what is invisible": a qualitative analysis of structural violence during COVID-19 and impacts on gender-based violence in Brazil. *BMC public health*, 23(1), 1854.